BEST AVAILABLE CU.

PTO/SB/21 (12-97)
Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0851-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no porsone ere required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, n			mation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.	
	Application Number	10/633,323 DECEIVED		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	August 1, 2003 CENTRAL FAX CENTER		
FORM	First Named Inventor	Gabor DIOSI	et al. MAR 2 4 2005	
to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Group Art Unit	3681 ,		
	Examiner Name	Roger L. PANG Fax: (703) 305-3597		
i Total No. of Pages in this Submission: 3	Attomey Docket Number	ZAHFRI P540US		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
☐ Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Assignment papers (for an Application)		☐ After Allowance Communication to Group	
☐ Fee attached	Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board	
■ Response	☐ Licensing-related Papers		of Appeals and Interferences	
☐ After Final		☐ Appeal Communication to Group		
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)	☐ Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition ☐ To Convert a Provisional Petition ☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address ☐ Terminal Disclaimer		(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
☐ Extension of Time Request				
Express Abandonment Request				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	☐ Small Entity Statement			
Response to Missing Part/s Incomplete Application	☐ Request for Refund			
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		•		
REMARKS SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm or Individual Name Michael J. Bujo			Reg. No. 32,018	
In l	Weles Bulls		CUSTOMER NO. 020210	
Date March 24, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO onMarch 24, 2005				
Type or printed name Michael J. Bujold				
Signature Muley Charles Date: March 24, 2005				

MAR 2 4 2005

3/24/05

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Gabor DIOSI, Josef HAUPT and Martin

BREHMER

Serial no. : 10/633,323 Filed : August 1, 2003

For : A MULTISTAGE AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION

WITH THREE PLANETARY GEAR SETS

Group Art Unit : 3681

Examiner : Roger L. Pang
Docket : ZAHFRI P540US

The Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This response is being filed in reply to the official action mailed March 17, 2005. In that action, the Examiner required an election of species under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following alleged patentably distinct species of the invention:

Transmission 1: Figs. 3-4b;

II. Transmission 2: Figs. 5-6b;

III. Transmission 3: Fig. 7; or

IV. Transmission 4: Fig. 8

Reconsideration of this requirement for election of species is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

The Applicant believes that the present invention contains various embodiments of a single inventive concept. All four species of the invention contain the features presently recited in claims 39-41, 44-46, 49-57, 59, 66-68 and 76-77 which are currently generic to all of the species. Moreover, the Applicant believes that claims 39-41, 44-46, 49-57, 59, 66-68 and 76-77 are allowable and consequently all species of the invention should be prosecuted in one and the same application for efficiency reasons. It is respectfully submitted that all of the species of the invention represent similar ways of obtaining the same desired results disclosed within this application.

Notwithstanding the above, in order to fulfill the Examiner's request, the Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, to prosecute the species of the claimed invention shown in Figures 5-6b of the drawings (II. Transmission 2). The Applicant also provisionally elects, with traverse, claims 39-42, 44-46, 48-57, 59, 65-74 and 76-77 which are all believed to be readable on the elected species to be prosecuted on the ments.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10/633,323

The Applicant does not waive any rights with respect to the non-elected species and does not intend to abandon that subject matter. If the Examiner makes the election requirement final, the Applicant respectfully requests that the non-elected species be withdrawn from further consideration but remain in this application subject to reinstatement, in the event that a generic claim is allowed, or for possible filing of a divisional application(s).

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the outstanding election of species requirement should be withdrawn and examination of all claims pending in this application, on the merits, is respectfully requested at the present time.

In the event that there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge the same or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account (Account No. 04-0213).

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Bujold, Reg. No. 32,018

Customer No. 020210 Davis & Bujold, P.L.L.C.

Fourth Floor

500 North Commercial Street Manchester NH 03101-1151

Telephone 603-624-9220 Facsimile 603-624-9229

E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com